

Nutrition literacy as a determinant for diet quality amongst young adolescents: a cross sectional study

Hassan Joulaei¹, Parisa Keshani², Mohammad Hossein Kaveh³

¹Health Policy Research Center, Institute of Health, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran; ²School of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran; ³Research Center for Health Sciences, Institute of Health, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran - E-mail: kaveh@sums.ac.ir

Summary. The purpose of the present study was to assess the association between nutrition literacy and diet quality among young adolescents. In this cross-sectional study, 388 adolescents aged 13-15 were selected from secondary schools, Shiraz, Iran, using cluster random sampling method. The Revised Children's Diet Quality Index (RCDQI) was assessed using a validated Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) and nutrition literacy was measured via a 3-dimensional questionnaire. Ordinal regression was used to examine the association between nutrition literacy and the quartiles of RCDQI as well as its components. RCDQI mean and standard deviation and total nutrition literacy (T-NL) were 65.19 ± 8.96 and 52.98 ± 7.15 among all the participants. Diet quality scores were higher in boys. Sources that were mostly used to collect nutritional information included the Internet (18.6%), families (15.2%) and books (13.1%). Among boys, an increase in T-NL (OR: 1.049; CI 95% 1.001-1.098), interactive nutrition literacy (OR: 1.13; CI 95% 1.033-1.236), and critical nutrition literacy (OR: 1.086; CI 95% 1.016-1.161) could enhance diet quality. Furthermore, increase in functional nutrition literacy was associated with lower sugar intake and better energy balance in boys and higher dairy intake in girls. Since there was an association between health literacy and diet quality amongst adolescents, health policy-makers should develop new strategies with focus to increase understanding of nutrition literacy during adolescence years.

Key words: health literacy, nutrition, dietary habits, diet quality, adolescence

Introduction

Proportional intake of healthy foods plays a significant role in growth and development of young individuals. On the other hand, unhealthy eating habits can leave adolescents predisposed to reduce learning ability and academic underachievement (1), in addition to prevalence of chronic disease (2).

Health literacy has been defined as the capacity to raise an individual health awareness to cope with personal requirements, which plays a critical role in health-related decisions and behaviors (3). Low health literacy in adolescence increases the chance of improper health status that reduces health-promoting behavior, especially in relation to nutrition (4). As an important aspect of health literacy,

nutrition literacy is defined as the ability of an individual to obtain, process and understand nutritional information and services required to make proper (nutrition) decisions in their lives (5). Nutrition literacy falls into three categories of Functional Nutrition Literacy (FNL), Interactive Nutrition Literacy (INL) and Critical Nutrition Literacy (CNL) (6). In previous studies, nutrition-based health literacy were negatively associated with fat intake (7), and unhealthy food consumption (8). Also, by reviewing the literature we can see how nutrition literacy has shaped youth eating habits (8).

Exploring the nutrition literacy status and its relation with quantity and quality of dietary intake among youths might help to adopt effective strategies for promoting nutritional health among this critical age group.

Studies on nutrition literacy, especially on adolescents in Iran are very limited. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to assess the association between nutrition literacy and diet quality among young adolescents.

Method

Subjects

In this cross-sectional study, using cluster random sampling, 420 adolescents aged 13-15 were selected from fourteen private and public secondary schools from 4 educational districts in Shiraz, the largest city in southern Iran. One class (average of 30 students) was selected randomly from each school. Foreign students and adolescents with chronic disease or special diets were excluded from the study. Since completing all the 3 questionnaires was not possible in one day (due to tiredness), for this reason, data were collected through face to face interviews in 2 separate sessions with one week interval. A briefing session was held for parents prior to the research, and a written informed consent was obtained from families/ guardians.

Measurements

General and Anthropometrics questionnaire: The participants were asked about demographic characteristics such as age, gender and parents' education level and occupation. Height was measured without shoes to the nearest of 0.1 cm using a non-stretchable tape. Weight was measured in light clothing to the nearest of 0.1 kg using a digital scale (Seca, Germany). BMI was calculated as body weight (kg) divided by height square (m²). Based on World Health Organization (WHO) the participants' body mass index (BMI) percentile value was assigned to one category of either overweight or obese, normal and underweight (9).

Nutrition assessment: Adolescents dietary intakes were estimated based on the previous year using a validated food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) (10). RCDQI scores were calculated based on the studies by Kranz (11, 12). In relation to scoring, full points were assigned to adolescents with intakes within the recommended levels (ranging from 2.5 - 10 depending on the component), with reductions made proportionally for suboptimal intake and overconsumption.

Some index components were not compatible with the Iranian dietary pattern. For example, whole grain breads are not easily accessible in some districts, as they are only sold in specific supermarkets and bakeries. On the other hand, daily consumption of natural juices is not a routine dietary habit among Iranians, hence, no one had a juice intake higher than 360 ml (12 Oz) per day to be scored as "excess juice" in the index (12). Thus, juice intake was included in the "fruit" category (13). Therefore, the scores for these two food items were considered zero in the RCDQI scoring.

Total physical activity (adolescent physical activity and recall questionnaire-APARQ) (14) was an indicator for energy balance in adolescents. Following classification of physical activity into "sedentary", "moderate" and "vigorous" categories, each individual energy intakes was evaluated to estimate their energy requirements (EER) $\pm 10\%$, appropriate for age, gender and 3 levels of physical activity, and then they were scored for both under- and over-consumption of energy.

The total RCDQI score was 90 and the adolescents' dietary intakes were assessed using Nutritionist-4, which was modified based on the Iranian food composition table (15) for Persian foods.

Knowledge: General nutrition knowledge questionnaire (GNKQ) (16) was used to assess the participants' nutritional knowledge. Scoring system was based on 1 and zero point for correct and incorrect/ "I don't know". The questionnaire reliability was assessed in the present study (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.76$).

Nutrition literacy questionnaire (NLQ-20): this is a 34-item, five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly agree) to 5 (strongly disagree) including the three main domains of nutrition literacy. In addition to 20-item NLQ, some additional descriptive questions were used as well. (17).

Its content validity was confirmed by a panel of experts (n=7). Face validity was assessed in a group of 25 adolescents. After omitting 3 items, the 7-item construct of functional nutrition literacy was validated through principal component analysis (loading factor > 0.4, eigenvalue > 1 and varimax rotation) and its reliability was confirmed by calculating Cronbach's alpha coefficient ($\alpha = 0.63$). Similarly, through this process the construct validity and reliability of final 6-item interactive NL ($\alpha = 0.65$) and 7-item critical NL

($\alpha=0.74$) were confirmed. In total, the NLQ-20 scores ranged from 20 to 100.

In the descriptive part, adolescents were asked about possible sources of information related to nutrition that they have used recently (books, pamphlets, family, friends and classmates, doctors or health care providers, Internet, library, magazines, newspapers, radio, television programs, traditional herbal drug sellers). Secondly, we assessed adolescents' self-efficacy in obtaining information that they required (How confident are about you getting nutrition-related advice or information if you needed it?) using a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not confident at all) to 5 (completely confident). Third, the participants were asked to answer the question: "how much do you trust the information about nutrition, diet or food coming from each of the following sources?"; the 13 sources included doctors, nurses or health care providers, dietitians, family, friends, books, newspapers and magazines, Internet, television, radio, public clinics or hospitals, private clinics or hospitals and international organizations.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive analysis was done to assess demographic and anthropometric characteristics, as well as the descriptive part of nutrition literacy. All covariates with p values < 0.2 under single variable analysis were entered into the regression analysis. Ordinal regression was used to evaluate the association between nutrition literacy and the quartiles of RCDQI, as well as its components. P value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Data were analyzed via SPSS (ver.24) and Nutritionist-4 (modified for Persian food) was used to assess dietary intakes.

Ethics

This study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the local ethics committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (IR-SUMS.REC.1395.S133). Written informed consents were obtained from all parents/guardians.

Results

Total of 388 adolescents participated in this study (response rate= 92.38%), out of which 64.2% were fe-

male and total of 26% were overweight or obese. Mean and standard deviation of T-NL equaled to 65.19 ± 8.96 among all the participants. FNL was higher in girls ($p=0.001$); however, CNL was significantly higher in boys ($p=0.015$). Of all the participants, 68.1% had mentioned that they looked for information about nutrition diet or food, and the most visited sources included the Internet (18.6%), family (15.2%) and books (13.1%). Total of 26.3% were completely, and 41.3% somewhat confident that they could get nutrition-related information if they needed it. There was a significant weak and positive correlation between trust and CNL ($r=0.132$, $p=0.013$). Moreover, the barriers had a negative relationship with FNL ($p=0.001$) and CNL ($p=0.046$). Although knowledge score was significantly higher in girls compared to boys ($p=0.001$), their diet quality score was not accordant and mean RCDQI score was higher among boys ($p=0.002$). Demographic and anthropometric characteristics and general information are reported in Table 1.

Increases in T-NL enhanced diet quality in boys (OR: 1.049; CI 95% 1.001-1.098), and increases in INL had increased the odds for being in the higher quartiles of the RCDQI score by 1.13 times (CI 95% 1.033-1.236). Furthermore, increase in CNL was associated with better diet quality (OR: 1.086; CI 95% 1.016-1.161); however, FNL had no association with the RCDQI score. In girls, no associations were observed between diet quality and total nutrition literacy or its components (Table 2).

As shown in Table 3, further analysis revealed the association between intake of food items and nutrition literacy. Among boys, an increasing FNL was associated with higher sugar score quartile, which showed lower intake of sugar (OR, 1.071; 95% CI, 1.002-1.146). In addition, increase in this nutrition literacy component enhanced dairy intake in girls (OR, 1.049; 95% CI, 1.001-1.098) and improved energy balance in boys by 1.082 times (95% CI, 1.011-1.159). Increases in INL raised the odds for energy score by 8% in boys (OR, 1.080; 95% CI, 1.011-1.154) and increased CNL improved vegetable intake in this gender group (OR, 1.080; 95% CI, 1.011-1.154). Augmentations in T-NL could also lead to increased vegetable intake in male adolescents (OR, 1.043; 95% CI, 1.001-1.087).

Table 1. Demographic and anthropometric characteristics, and nutrition literacy, knowledge and diet quality score among study subjects

| Demographic characteristics | Boys (n=139) | Girls (n=249) |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|
| Age, mean (SD) | 14.37 (0.91) | 13.64 (0.92) |
| Education district, n (%) | | |
| District 1 (medium to high socio-economic status) | 92 (66.2) | 143 (57.4) |
| District 2 (low socio-economic status) | 47 (33.8) | 106 (42.6) |
| Mother education, n (%) | | |
| Illiterate & Primary education | 14 (10.6) | 26 (11.3) |
| High school & diploma | 106 (80.3) | 151 (65.3) |
| University education | 12 (9.1) | 54 (23.4) |
| Father education, n (%) | | |
| Illiterate & Primary education | 10 (7.7) | 18 (7.9) |
| High school & diploma | 91 (70) | 137 (60.1) |
| University education | 29 (22.3) | 73 (32.0) |
| Anthropometric characteristics | | |
| BMI, n (%) | | |
| Underweight | 26 (18.7) | 32 (12.9) |
| Normal weight | 73 (52.5) | 156 (62.7) |
| Overweight and Obese | 40 (28.8) | 61 (24.4) |
| General information | | |
| Nutrition literacy, mean (SD) | | |
| FNL | 21.17 (2.93) | 22.28 (3.68) |
| INL | 20.05 (3.39) | 19.75 (4.25) |
| CNL | 24.21 (4.20) | 22.95 (5.05) |
| T-NL | 65.35 (7.26) | 65.10 (9.83) |
| Confidence to get required nutrition information | | |
| Completely confident | 38 (27.9) | 62 (25.4) |
| Very confident | 20 (14.7) | 43 (17.6) |
| Somewhat confident | 60 (44.1) | 97 (39.8) |
| A little confident | 5 (3.7) | 17 (7) |
| Not confident at all | 13 (9.6) | 25 (10.2) |
| Barriers, mean (SD) | 20.74 (7.97) | 21.61 (6.20) |
| Trust, mean (SD) | 43.34 (9.73) | 43.37 (9.31) |
| Knowledge, mean (SD) | 52.50 (18.44) | 58.89 (16.23) |
| RCDQI score, mean (SD) | 54.50 (6.34) | 52.12 (7.44) |

BMI, body mass index; FNL, functional nutrition literacy; INL, interactive nutrition literacy; CNL, critical nutrition literacy; T-NL, total nutrition literacy; RCDQI, revised children diet quality index.

Discussion

In the present study, there was a significant association between nutrition literacy and diet quality amongst adolescents, and it was shown that increase

in T-NL and its components such as INL, and CNL could enhance diet quality among boys. Furthermore, increases in FNL were associated with lower sugar intake and better energy balance in boys and higher dairy intake in girls. Results are discussed in detail.

Table 2. Components of RCDQI, mean score, and mean intake based on score quartiles (lowest and highest quartiles) among boys and girl adolescents

| Component | Scoring criteria | Max score | Boys | | Girls | | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--|------------------|--|------------------|------------------|
| | | | Mean score (SD) | Intake based on score quartiles, Mean (SD) | Mean score (SD) | Intake based on score quartiles, Mean (SD) | | |
| | | | | lowest | highest | lowest | highest | |
| Added sugar % | ≤10% of total energy intake | 10 | 5.88 (3.51) | 17.39 (3.71) | 10.64 (3.68) | 6.53 (3.37) | 16.16 (10.57) | 10.63 (3.34) |
| Total fat % | 25%-35% | 2.5 | 1.87 (1.01) | 34.07 (4.85) | 32.56 (3.31) | 1.91 (0.98) | 34.43 (4.15) | 32.41 (3.47) |
| Linoleic acid % | ≤ 5%-10% | 2.5 | 1.70 (1.12) | 9.90 (5.49) | 8.42 (4.23) | 1.46 (1.21) | 11.46 (6.45) | 8.04 (3.60) |
| Linolenic acid % | 0.6%-1.2% | 2.5 | 2.18 (0.53) | 0.59 (0.26) | 0.73 (0.28) | 2.14 (0.59) | 0.70 (0.32) | 0.75 (0.27) |
| DHA+EPA% | ≤10% of ALA | 2.5 | 2.40 (0.43) | 4.57 (2.91) | 3.75 (3.15) | 2.41 (0.44) | 3.27 (3.18) | 3.71 (2.93) |
| Total grain (OZ) | | | | | | | | |
| Female | 5-6 OZ | 5 | 1.30 (1.01) | 13.95 (4.97) | 12.59 (3.18) | 1.46 (1.18) | 10.79 (4.07) | 11.01 (2.39) |
| Male | 6-7 OZ | | | | | | | |
| Fruit (Cup) | | | | | | | | |
| Female | 1.5 cup | 10 | 8.68 (2.43) | 1.98 (1.27) | 3.40 (1.43) | 8.69 (2.49) | 1.81 (1.49) | 3.05 (1.35) |
| Male | 2 cup | | | | | | | |
| Vegetable (Cup) | | | | | | | | |
| Female | 2.5 cup | 10 | 6.27 (2.39) | 1.66 (0.82) | 2.13 (0.63) | 7.02 (2.70) | 1.36 (0.78) | 2.28 (0.82) |
| Male | 3 cup | | | | | | | |
| Dairy (Cup) | 3 | 10 | 5.46 (2.68) | 1.26 (0.70) | 1.98 (0.81) | 4.96 (2.89) | 0.96 (0.76) | 2.12 (0.85) |
| Iron | EAR | 10 | 8.84 (2.50) | 13.81 (5.54) | 16.16 (3.38) | 6.08 (3.30) | 12.31 (4.93) | 14.64 (4.03) |
| Energy | Energy ± 10% of EER | 10 | 8.57 (1.48) | 2790.69 (995.78) | 2549.23 (640.09) | 8.50 (1.81) | 2158.19 (814.18) | 2206.70 (478.89) |

RCDQI, revised children diet quality index; DHA, Docosahexaenoic acid; EPA, Eicosapentaenoic acid; ALA, α-linolenic acid; EAR, Estimated Average Requirement; EER, Estimated Energy Requirement.

* ≤EAR = 0 points, EAR-RDA = 5 point, ≥RDA = 10 point

Table 3. Association between RCDQI score quartile and nutrition literacy among boy and girl adolescents using the ordinal logistic regression (Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI))

| Variables | Boys | | | Girls | | |
|-----------|---------|--------|-------|---------|--------|-------|
| | OR | 95% CI | | OR | 95% CI | |
| | | lower | upper | | lower | upper |
| FNL | 0.974 | 0.913 | 1.040 | 1.000 | 0.955 | 1.046 |
| District | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1.414 | 0.733 | 2.727 | 1.829* | 1.140 | 2.933 |
| 2 | 1.000 | | | 1.000 | | |
| knowledge | 0.976** | 0.959 | 0.993 | 1.002 | 0.988 | 1.016 |
| INL | 1.130** | 1.033 | 1.236 | 1.019 | 0.970 | 1.071 |
| District | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1.336 | 0.678 | 2.635 | 1.843* | 1.144 | 2.967 |
| 2 | 1.000 | | | 1.000 | | |
| knowledge | 0.976* | 0.959 | 0.994 | 1.000 | 0.986 | 1.015 |
| CNL | 1.086* | 1.016 | 1.161 | 1.015 | 0.978 | 1.053 |
| District | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1.241 | 0.619 | 2.489 | 2.014** | 1.243 | 3.262 |
| 2 | 1.000 | | | 1.000 | | |
| knowledge | 0.972* | 0.955 | 0.989 | 1.001 | 0.986 | 1.016 |
| T-NL | 1.049* | 1.001 | 1.098 | 1.012 | .987 | 1.037 |
| District | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1.391 | 0.685 | 2.823 | 2.083** | 1.260 | 3.442 |
| 2 | 1.000 | | | 1.000 | | |
| knowledge | 0.985 | 0.967 | 1.003 | 0.999 | 0.983 | 1.015 |

RCDQI, revised children diet quality index; FNL, functional nutrition literacy; INL, interactive nutrition literacy; CNL, critical nutrition literacy; T-NL, total nutrition literacy.

District 1: medium to high socio-economic status, District 2: low socio-economic status

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$

Table 4. Association between RCDQI components score quartile and nutrition literacy among boy and girl adolescents using the ordinal logistic regression (Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI))

| | Sugar score | | Dairy score | | Vegetable score | | EER score | |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| | OR | CI 95% | OR | CI 95% | OR | CI 95% | OR | CI 95% |
| Boys | | | | | | | | |
| FNL | 1.071* | 1.002-1.146 | 0.950 | 0.888-1.016 | 1.012 | 0.947-1.082 | 1.082* | 1.011-1.159 |
| INL | 1.038 | 0.952-1.131 | 1.062 | 0.974-1.157 | 1.057 | 0.969-1.154 | 1.089* | 1.082-1.098 |
| CNL | 1.012 | 0.949-1.080 | 1.047 | 0.981-1.117 | 1.080* | 1.011-1.154 | 0.968 | 0.907-1.033 |
| T-NL | 1.041 | 0.998-1.086 | 1.013 | 0.973-1.054 | 1.043* | 1.001-1.087 | 0.994 | 0.955-1.034 |
| Girls | | | | | | | | |
| FNL | 0.958 | 0.914-1.003 | 1.049* | 1.001-1.098 | 1.020 | 0.974-1.068 | 0.999 | 0.954-1.046 |
| INL | 1.000 | 0.952-1.050 | 1.028 | 0.979-1.080 | 0.970 | 0.924-1.019 | 1.052 | 1.001-1.106 |
| CNL | 1.008 | 0.971-1.046 | 0.992 | 0.956-1.030 | 0.997 | 0.961-1.035 | 1.036 | 0.996-1.076 |
| T-NL | 0.995 | 0.974-1.016 | 1.012 | 0.991-1.034 | 0.996 | 0.975-1.017 | 1.018 | 0.997-1.040 |

RCDQI, revised children diet quality index; EER, Estimated Energy Requirements; FNL, functional nutrition literacy; INL, interactive nutrition literacy; CNL, critical nutrition literacy; T-NL: total nutrition literacy.

Knowledge, district (distric 1, district 2), BMI entered the ordinal analysis as covariates.

* $p < 0.05$

Diet quality in adolescents

Our RCDQI score was lower compared to other studies (13, 18), mainly because we did not have a score for whole grains and excess fruit juice consumption.

In our study, mean percentage of added sugar was higher than the amount recommended by dietary guideline (less than 10% of calories per day), which is in line with other studies in Iran (19-21). Although mean intake of total fat met the recommended amount, it was higher than the optimal level of 30%. It seems that fat intake has increased in recent years among Iranian adolescents, which is in line with the consumption of fast-foods and processed foods (22, 23). The main staple food in Iran are refined wheat and rice, forming the main portion of our carbohydrate intake (24). Therefore, policy-makers should make whole grain products more accessible and affordable for everyone in order to reach the dietary recommendations of whole grains as a preventive measure to reduce the increasing rate of non-communicable diseases.

In this study, vegetable intake was very low amongst the adolescent population, which was congruent with a systematic review in Iran (25). Despite schools' free-milk-distribution program implemented by the Iranian Ministry of Health and Medical Education, present study shows that mean intake of dairy products is lower than the recommended amount, and in 2014, Iran's per capita consumption of milk and dairy products was announced at almost half the world average (26).

Overall, most of the RCDQI components assessing adolescents' diet quality requires more attention and improvement that should be considered in nutritional education programs and policies.

Nutrition literacy in adolescents

In spite of relative enhancement of nutrition knowledge following educational interventions, limited improvements were observed in dietary behaviors (27). This may be due to the failure of those interventions to improve nutrition literacy as an important mediator between nutrition-related knowledge and practice (8). Different aspects of nutrition literacy are discussed separately as follow.

Knowledge: Knowledge was higher among girls, which was not in line with their T-NL and diet quality

in our study. In a systematic review, four out of nine studies revealed that females had greater food knowledge than males, and one found that females had poorer dietary practices despite their greater nutritional knowledge, which is consistent with the present study (8). Evidence indicates that knowledge alone is usually not enough to change individual behaviors such as dietary choices (8).

Sources of knowledge: In our population, the most visited sources of nutrition and food information included the Internet, family and books. In Cash's study, dietitians, nutritionists and general physicians were the three most preferred sources, and were considered as most trustworthy, credible and effective. However, in line with our study, the most utilized sources of nutrition information were the Internet, friends, family and magazines (28). Zoellner et al., reported that the Internet is not a frequently used source of nutrition information among adults (29), and other studies found the major sources of food knowledge to be the family among adults (30-32) and relative classes among undergraduate students (31).

National surveys in the United State identified the Internet as the most popular source of health information (29). There is a huge amount of scientific and non-scientific information and biased advertising and news on Internet, but teenagers hardly ever refer to scientific data. These facts suggest that adolescents should be educated on how and where to find valid information.

Trust: In present study, there was significant, weak, positive correlation between trust and CNL. In Zoellner's study (29), nutrition literacy was significantly associated with the level of trust toward information sources, and the Internet was identified as the least trusted source of nutritional information by adults.

Confidence: Only about one forth (26.3%) of the adolescents were completely confident that they could get nutrition-related information if they needed it. Nutrition literacy was higher in people who reported a higher level of confidence, but the relationship was not statistically significant. Our result was in agreement with Zoellner's study in which adults with lower literacy level had less confidence in their ability to obtain nutrition information, but the trend was not sig-

nificant (29). However, in Ghaddar's study, health literacy was positively associated with self-efficacy (33). It seems that planners and policy-makers should raise confidence levels among this age-group in order to inspire better choice of nutritional information sources.

Barriers: There were significant negative relationships between functional and critical NL with the barriers to find food and nutrition information in our study. Zoellner et al., noted that adults with lower nutrition literacy rated higher for barriers to seek nutrition information than those with adequate literacy, but the trend was not significant (29).

Functional, Interactive and critical nutrition literacy: In this study, increases in INL, CNL, and T-NL had significantly enhanced diet quality among boys, but FNL was not associated with the RCDQI score. In girls, no association was observed between diet quality and T-NL or its components. In recent years, nutritional information has been delivered through textbooks and school health programs. In addition, access to various educational resources such as health channels and social networks has helped the teenagers to increase their knowledge, and consequently their FNL. Nonetheless, this knowledge by itself cannot improve nutritional behavior as it was not designed to affect their skills, motivation or behavior.

There was an association between food item intake and nutrition literacy in the present study. Increased FNL was associated with lower sugar intake and improved energy balance in boys and enhanced dairy intake in girls. Increased INL could increase the energy score and increases in CNL and T-NL could lead to increased vegetable intake. Since osteoporosis has become a public health concern in recent years, especially among women, many health and nutrition education programs have been assigned to this issue. The same is true for obesity and its related problems in both genders; therefore, it is expected that adolescents have better nutrition literacy in these contexts.

In a systematic review of 9 studies, only one had assessed the relationship between nutritional skills and dietary intake, and found an association between more frequent food preparation (as an interactive literacy), and increased fruit consumption in young boys, as well as increased fruit and vegetable intake in girls. It also

had a negative association with the consumption of junk food items such as soft drinks in girls and fried foods in boys (8). INL such as frequency of reading food label was not associated with dietary intake in Huang et al., study (34). In another study, nutrition-based health literacy predicted lower fat intake, but it was not a significant predictor of fruit and vegetable intake among college students (7).

Few studies have assessed the relationship between nutrition literacy and food behavior in children and adolescents. Different tools were used to examine nutrition literacy in those studies, which mostly did not separate the various dimensions of nutrition literacy as we did. Thus, the studies are not easily comparable. Although the field of health literacy has grown immensely, it is still relatively new and there are still ongoing debates regarding its construct and measurement. Thus, further studies using multi-dimensional nutrition literacy questionnaire similar to what we used is highly recommended.

Conclusion

In recent years, nutritional education programs have increased among adolescents, and they have been successful in increasing nutritional knowledge. However, they have not been sufficient in promoting nutritional behavior. Furthermore, with increased access to information and communication technologies, the expected plan is to go beyond just delivering nutritional information, but also to develop higher cognitive and behavioral skills to promote healthy eating habits. Nutrition literacy, as a combination of knowledge, cognitive and behavioral skills, has the potential to resolve this issue and improve healthy decision-making regarding eating habits.

Nutrition literacy and its components have significant association with diet quality in adolescents, hence, public healthcare planners and policy-makers should develop new public health strategies with a focus on increased understanding of food literacy among adolescents, especially girls.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the administrative supports of Vice-chancellor of research at Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Fars Province Educational Office and the school principals. We thank all students and their parents for their participation in the study. Also the authors wish to thank Mr. H. Argasi at the Research Consolation Center (RCC) at Shiraz University of Medical Sciences for his invaluable editorial assistance of this manuscript.

Competing interests: All co-authors have seen and agree with the contents of the manuscript and they have declared that no competing interests exist.

Funding: This work was financially supported by Vice-chancellor of research at Shiraz University of Medical Sciences.

References

- Shi X, Tubb L, Fingers ST, Chen S, Caffrey JL. Associations of physical activity and dietary behaviors with children's health and academic problems. *J Sch Health*. 2013;83 (1):1-7.
- Kazemi A, Zahraei NN, Nazarian N. The relation between intra-and interpersonal factors and food consumption level among Iranian adolescent girls. *Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res*. 2016; 21(2):147-152.
- Sanders LM, Shaw JS, Guez G, Baur C, Rudd R. Health literacy and child health promotion: implications for research, clinical care, and public policy. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124, Suppl. 3, S306-S314.
- Chang LC. Health literacy, self reported status and health promoting behaviours for adolescents in Taiwan. *J Clin Nurs*. 2011; 20(1-2): 190-196.
- Nielsen-Bohlman L, Panzer A, Hamlin B, Kindig D. Institute of Medicine. Health literacy: a prescription to end confusion. Committee on Health Literacy, Board on Neuroscience and Behavioral Health. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2004.
- Guttersrud Ø, Petterson KS. Young adolescents' engagement in dietary behaviour—the impact of gender, socio-economic status, self-efficacy and scientific literacy. Methodological aspects of constructing measures in nutrition literacy research using the Rasch model. *Public Health Nutr*. 2015;18 (14): 2565-2574.
- Smith ML. Health literacy and weight-related behaviors among college students. PhD Thesis, University of Missouri-Kansas City, 2009.
- Vaitkeviciute R, Ball LE, Harris N. The relationship between food literacy and dietary intake in adolescents: a systematic review. *Public Health Nutr*. 2015; 18(04): 649-658.
- Prevention. CDCa. Use and Interpretation of the WHO and CDC Growth Charts for Children From Birth to 20 Years in the United States. Accessed March 26, 2013. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/dnpa/growthcharts/resources/growthchart.pdf>.
- Mirmiran P, Esfahani FH, Mehrabi Y, Hedayati M, Azizi F. Reliability and relative validity of an FFQ for nutrients in the Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. *Public Health Nutr*. 2010;13(05): 654-662.
- Kranz S, Findeis JL, Shrestha SS. Use of the Revised Children's Diet Quality Index to assess preschooler's diet quality, its sociodemographic predictors, and its association with body weight status. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 2008;84(1): 26-34.
- Kranz S, McCabe GP. Examination of the Five Comparable Component Scores of the Diet Quality Indexes HEI-2005 and RC-DQI Using a Nationally Representative Sample of 2-18 Year Old Children: NHANES 2003-2006. *J Obes*. 2013; 2013.
- Kranz S, Hartman T, Siega-Riz AM, Herring AH. A diet quality index for American preschoolers based on current dietary intake recommendations and an indicator of energy balance. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2006;106(10): 1594-1604.
- Shokrvash B, Majlessi F, Montazeri A, et al. Correlates of physical activity in adolescence: a study from a developing country. *Glob Health Action*. 2013; 6(1): 20327.
- Food Composition Table. Tehran: National Nutrition and Food Technology Research Institute Publication; 1999.
- Ferro-Lebres V, Moreira P, Ribeiro JC. Adaptation, update and validation of the general nutrition questionnaire in a Portuguese adolescent sample. *Ecol Food Nutr*. 2014; 53(5): 528-542.
- Ndahura NB. Nutrition literacy status of adolescent students in Kampala district, Uganda. Master Thesis, Oslo and Akershus University College of Applied Sciences, Lillestrøm, Norway, 2012
- Quandt SA, Trejo G, Suerken CK, Pulgar CA, Ip EH, Arcury TA. Diet quality among preschool-age children of Latino migrant and seasonal farmworkers in the United States. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2016; 18(3): 505-512.
- Bazhan M, Kalantari N. Association of anthropometric indices with some dietary factors of high school girls in Lahijan. *Iranian Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 2010;11(2): 159-167.
- VanEpps EM, Roberto CA. The Influence of Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Warnings: A Randomized Trial of Adolescents' Choices and Beliefs. *Am J Prev Med*. 2016; 51(5): 664-672.
- Ha K, Chung S, Lee H-S, et al. Association of dietary sugars and sugar-sweetened beverage intake with obesity in Korean children and adolescents. *Nutrients*. 2016; 8(1): 31.
- Rouhani MH, Mirseifinezhad M, Omrani N, Esmailzadeh A, Azadbakht L. Fast food consumption, quality of diet, and obesity among Isfahanian adolescent girls. *J Obes*. 2012; 2012.
- Vakili M, Abedi P, Sharifi M, Hosseini M. Dietary diversity and its related factors among adolescents: a survey in Ahvaz-Iran. *Glob J Health Sci*. 2013; 5(2): 181-186.
- Bahreiniyan M, Esmailzadeh A. Opinion: Quantity And Quality Of Carbohydrate Intake In Iran: A Target For Nutritional Intervention. *Arch Iran Med*. 2012;15(10): 648-649.

25. Akbari F, Azadbakht L. A systematic review on diet quality among Iranian youth: focusing on reports from Tehran and Isfahan. *Arch Iran Med*. 2014;17(8): 574–584.
26. Rahnama H, Rajabpour S. Factors for consumer choice of dairy products in Iran. *Appetite*. 2017;111:46–55.
27. Micucci S, Thomas H, Vohra J. The effectiveness of school-based strategies for the primary prevention of obesity and for promoting physical activity and/or nutrition, the major modifiable risk factors for type 2 diabetes: A review of reviews (Report). Hamilton, ON: Effective Public Health Practice Project (EPHPP). 2002. Available from: <http://old.hamilton.ca/phcs/ephpp/Research/Full-Reviews/Diabetes-Review.pdf>.
28. Cash T, Desbrow B, Leveritt M, Ball L. Utilization and preference of nutrition information sources in Australia. *Health Expect*. 2015; 18(6): 2288–2295.
29. Zoellner J, Connell C, Bounds W, Crook L, Yadrick K. Peer reviewed: nutrition literacy status and preferred nutrition communication channels among adults in the lower Mississippi Delta. *Prev Chronic Dis*. 2009;6(4): A128.
30. Cleland AB. Food knowledge—what is it and where does it come from: a study of Culinary Management students at William Angliss Institute. Masters Research thesis, The University of Melbourne; 2013.
31. Davy SR, Benes BA, Driskell JA. Sex differences in dieting trends, eating habits, and nutrition beliefs of a group of mid-western college students. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2006;106(10): 1673–1677.
32. Gomez AXO. Gender differences in food habits, beliefs, and fast food consumption among a predominantly Hispanic college students population. Master of Public Health thesis, The University of Texas at El Paso; 2011.
33. Ghaddar SF, Valerio MA, Garcia CM, Hansen L. Adolescent health literacy: the importance of credible sources for online health information. *J Sch Health*. 2012; 82(1): 28–36.
34. Huang TT-K, Kaur H, McCarter KS, Nazir N, Choi WS, Ahluwalia JS. Reading nutrition labels and fat consumption in adolescents. *J Adolesc Health*. 2004; 35(5): 399–401.

Correspondence:

Mohammad Hossein Kaveh
Research Center for Health Sciences. Institute of Health.
Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.
Postal code: 71536-75541
Tel: +987137251001-8
Fax: +987137260225
E-mail: kaveh@sums.ac.ir